

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 18/12/2023 Surveyor: Thomas Hiles

### Tree details

TPO Ref (if applicable): Tree/Group No: 1 Species: Robinia pseudoacacia  
Owner (if known): Longston C.C. Location: Castle Street, Longston

### REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

#### Part 1: Amenity assessment

##### a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- |                          |                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good                  | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair/satisfactory     | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor                  | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

#### Score & Notes

3

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

##### b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

#### Score & Notes

4

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

##### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees  | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

#### Score & Notes

4

##### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |               |
|--|---------------|
| 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees                    | Score & Notes |
| 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion                    |               |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance                       |               |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual                              |               |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) |               |
| -1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location                  |               |

#### Score & Notes

1

#### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- |   |               |
|---|---------------|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice | Score & Notes |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree                 |               |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree                   |               |
| 1) Precautionary only                         |               |

#### Score & Notes

2

#### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-11  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible        |
| 16+   | Definitely merits TPO |

#### Add Scores for Total:

14

#### Decision:

Make TPO