

Appendix 4: Risk Scoring Matrix

Grading the likelihood of an event

Grade	% chance	Description
A: Almost Certain	Over 70%	Event is almost certain to occur in most circumstances
B: Likely	30% to 70%	Event likely to occur in most circumstances
C: Possible	10% to 30%	Event will possibly occur at some time
D: Unlikely	1% to 10%	Event unlikely and may occur at some time
E: Rare	Under 1%	Event rare and may occur only in exceptional circumstances

Rating the impact of an event

Rating	Service performance	Reputation	Financial cost
1: Very High	Unable to deliver core activities. Strategic aims compromised.	Trust severely damaged and full recovery questionable and costly.	Over £5million
2: High	Significant disruption to core activities. Key targets missed.	Trust recoverable at considerable cost and management attention.	£1million to £5million

Rating	Service performance	Reputation	Financial cost
3: Medium	Disruption to core activities / customers	Trust recovery demands cost authorisation beyond existing budgets.	£250,000 to £1million
4: Low	Some disruption to core activities / customers	Trust recoverable at modest cost with resource allocation within budgets	£50,000 to £250,000
5: Very Low	Minor errors or disruption.	Trust recoverable with little effort or cost.	Less than £50,000

The combination of impact and likelihood results in a risk exposure rating of:

Risk Score	Risk Severity	Escalation Criteria
C5, D4, D5, E4, E5	Minor	Risk easily managed locally – no need to involve management
A5, B4, B5, C3, C4, D3, E2, E3	Moderate	Risk containable at service level – senior management and SLT may need to be kept informed
A3, A4, B3, C2, D1, D2, E1	Major	Intervention by SLT with Cabinet involvement
A1, A2, B1, B2, C1	Critical	Significant SLT and Cabinet intervention

Summary of Denbighshire's Risk Appetite Statement

(as agreed November 2022):

Denbighshire County Council's risk appetite in relation to different aspects of council business is summarised below:

- **Reputation and Credibility** – Open risk appetite, willing to consider all options and choose one that is most likely to result in successful delivery with an acceptable level of reward (and value for money). This means we will tolerate minor, moderate or major risks.
- **Operational and Policy Delivery** – Open risk appetite, willing to consider all options and choose one that is most likely to result in successful delivery with an acceptable level of reward (and value for money). Again, this means we will tolerate minor, moderate or major risks.
- **Financial Projects** - Open risk appetite, willing to consider all options and choose one that is most likely to result in successful delivery with an acceptable level of reward (and value for money). As above, we will tolerate minor, moderate or major risks.
- **Financial Treasury Management** – Cautious risk appetite, preference for safe options that have a medium degree of inherent risk and may have some potential for rewards. Within this risk appetite, we will only tolerate minor or moderate risks.
- **Compliance and Regulation - Safeguarding** – Minimalist risk appetite, preference for ultra-safe options where the well-being of individuals is concerned, with a low degree of inherent risk and have a potential for only limited (safe) reward. This means we will only accept minor risks in this area.
- **Compliance and Regulation - Other** – Cautious risk appetite, preference for safe options that have a medium degree of inherent risk and may have some potential for rewards. Again, we will only tolerate minor or moderate risks in this area.
- **People (Workforce) Learning and Development** – Cautious risk appetite, preference for safe options that have a medium degree of inherent risk and may have some potential for rewards. As above, minor or moderate risks only will be tolerated.
- **People (Workforce)** – Cautious risk appetite, preference for safe options that have a medium degree of inherent risk and may have some potential for rewards. Again, we will only tolerate minor or moderate risks in this area.