

## Penalty Points scheme, Appendix 1, number1

Les Peake to: nicky.jones

08/07/2014 11:14

History:

This message has been replied to.

Morning Nicky

Thank you for the chat.

Number 1 in Appendix 1.

I think this might be a problem because what it describes is a driver requiring to be an MOT tester.

Use/Cause/Permit a vehicle to be driven with a defect contrary to Road Vehicle (Construction & Use) Regs 1986 (as amended) This is fine.

Driver defect reporting. (any vehicle doing school contracts should have a defect reporting book as per T & C's)

As long as the driver has filled in his daily vehicle check book. Then most things are covered and if they break that day he needs to rectify them there and then or go to the garage. No points.

If the driver has reported a defect that can't be rectified by changing a bulb or getting a tire changed.

Then it is the companies responsibility to get the repair carried out.

If the company send the driver out in a defective vehicle the company get points. (Unless it's a minor defect)

If the company make no attempt to rectify the problem. ie book the vehicle into the garage (easily checked)

Then the company get more points.

If the a vehicle is sent out in an un roadworthy condition????

If the driver takes a vehicle out in an un roadworthy condition????? (ie: serious defects, bald tires)

As most of these drivers aren't employed by any company, they'll take the vehicle purely for the earnings.

If a company made a driver take a un roadworthy vehicle out and threatened him/her with the sack then they have protection through the law. (un fair dismissal, constructive dismissal)

You should also put in that if a Company regularly turns up up for testing and have a lot of failures or caught for an unreasonable amount of defects on road side checks.

This shows the company isn't in a position to service and maintain the number of vehicles that they have plates for.

The Council should be able to reduce the number of plates held, which should be in line with the financial standing of the company.

ie You should be able to easily service and maintain the number of vehicles you hold financially.

This isn't my idea, this is something the traffic commissioner does when issuing O Licenses to truck and bus companies.

I think that covers your section one.

Wendy and I would like to be a part of your working group.

If you have any questions about the above please give me a ring.

Many Thanks